**：　私のな[special]**

**Peer Review of the First Draft**

Please answer the following questions in English. You may write your comments here or in your partner’s draft.

Content

1. What do you like about your partner’s composition?

I think the story is interesting. My partner also used lots of new words and expression so I really learned a lot.

2a. [Introduction] Does the introduction present the general information about the topic of the author’s experience or the background? Is topic effectively and interestingly introduced?

Yes, in introduction my partner said that he wanted to try to eat delicious Japanese food, which introduces the story below.

2b. [Body] Is the story connected smoothly? Is each piece of information presented in a chronological order? Is there something that seems random or out of place?

The story is in chronological order. But maybe the second paragraph should be shorter, since it’s just like a list of things but not a particular event.

2c. [Conclusion] Does this last paragraph include author’s opinions and/or impressions clearly? Are they related to the body and/or introduction?

Yes, my partner said that he has got used to the taste of mentaiko so he still want to eat it.

3. Is there any part of the essay you have questions about or do not fully understand? If so, what was confusing?

Maybe for the last sentence of paragraph 3, I don’t know whether my partner want to eat mentaiko or not. My suggestion is to make a clearer statement there.

Format

Is there any problem in the following?

1. Title, 2. Quiz section and name, 3. Indent, 4. Vocabulary list, 5. Pronunciation of difficult kanji, 6. Character counts, 7. Others.

Between name and the first paragraph, there should be one empty line. And some difficult vocabularies are not explained.

(Optional) Language

Mark the incorrect words and phrases. Write “?” if you don’t understand the sentence. Don’t correct the mistakes.